[29th October 1935

The Hon. the RAJA OF BOBBILI:—"In the case of local boards, their financial condition was not sound and the scales of pay varied so much that they bore no relation to the responsibility attached to the various posts."

Mr. M. S. SRESHTA:—"If the local bodies suffered from lack of funds, how were they able to appoint new officers like Panchayat Officers and Commissioners?"

The Hon. the RAJA OF BOBBILI:—"I understood this question referred to district boards. So I stated that, as a general rule, the Government were not able to apply to those cases the same principles as in the case of Government service."

Provision of saving clause, if any, in G.O. No. 4619, L. & M., dated 20th October 1934, in respect of old scales of pay to teachers already in service.

*248 *Q*.—Mr. U. C. SUBRAHMANYA BHATT: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state what orders have been issued by the Government on the question of granting old scales of pay to already existing teachers, in giving effect to G.O. No. 4619, L. & M., dated 20th October 1934, and if no orders have been passed, why?

A.—The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer given to question No. 247.

B. POCKER SAHIB Bahadur:—"May I ask whether the Government realize that giving retrospective effect to this Government Order is iniquitous?"

The Hon. the RAJA OF BOBBILI:—"The difference between the present pay and the maximum of the new scale is given as personal pay."

Mr. C. BASU DEV:—"Sir, the Hon. the Chief Minister has stated on many occasions on the floor of this House that personal pay would always be granted except in cases of unusually accelerated promotions. The new scales of pay have been in operation for one year. Personal pay has been granted in the case of some district boards, but some district boards have not recommended personal pay. May I ask what the Government propose to do in the circumstances?"

The Hon. the RAJA OF BOBBILI:—"As far as the Government are aware, they have not received any information to the effect that any district board has refused to grant personal pay. I believe I am right in saying that in the majority of cases personal pay has already been sanctioned. If the hon. Member brings to my notice any district where personal pay has not been granted, I shall look into the matter."

29th October 1935]

Mr. M. S. Sreshta :—" In the case of teachers who have not reached the maximum of the old scales, may I ask whether the Hon. Minister realizes that they will be prejudicially affected by the new scales? "

The Hon. the Raja of Bobbili :—" Yes, I do."

Recovery of leave salaries from ex-taluk board servants as per G.O. No. 1510, L. & M., dated 15th April 1935.

* 249 *Q.*—Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder : Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether a Government Order (No. 4471, L. & M., dated 6th October 1934) has been passed in the matter of regulating leave to the ex-taluk board servants;

(*b*) if so, whether a copy of it will be placed on the table;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that many a servant of the ex-taluk boards has been allowed to enjoy the leave at his credit on the basis of this Government Order;

(*d*) whether a Government Order (No. 1510, L. & M., dated 15th April 1935) has been passed again regulating the leave and pension of the servants of the ex-taluk boards;

(*e*) if so, whether a copy of it will be placed on the table;

(*f*) whether it is a fact that recoveries of leave salaries drawn by the servants of ex-taluk boards after they came into the district board service on the basis of the former Government Order (i.e., G.O. No. 4471, L. & M., dated 6th October 1934) are now ordered on the basis of the latter Government Order (i.e., G.O. No. 1510, L. & M., dated 15th April 1935);

(*g*) if so, in how many cases such recoveries have been ordered, who the person concerned in each recovery is, what his pay and length of service under the taluk board are and what the amount involved in each case of recovery is;

(*h*) whether any representations have been received in this behalf; and

(*i*) if so, from whom and how they have been disposed of?

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the appendix to the answer to his question No. 555 answered on the 26th January 1935.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) & (*e*) The G.O. No. 1510, L. & M., dated the 15th April 1935, which is appended [a], relates only to the grant of compensation pension, to the discharged pensionable employees of the late taluk boards. No orders in regard to leave were passed in modification of G.O. No. 4471, L. & M., dated the 6th October 1934.

[a] Printed as Appendix VI (1) on pages 112–113 infra.